

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	<u> </u>	·		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/457,842	12/09/1999	SATORU SAWADA	12924(JA998-	8134
75	90 11/24/2003	EXAMINER		
	OTT MURPHY & P	SHERR, CRISTINA O		
400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA GARDEN CITY, NY 11530			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3621	
			DATE MAIL ED: 11/24/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/457,842	SAWADA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Cristina O Sherr	3621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the criod will apply and will expire SIX (6) M6 statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ 7	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-8,10-16 and 20-23 is/are pendidate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-8,10-16 and 20-23 is/are reject 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	ed.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyorrection is required if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documed 2. Certified copies of the priority documed 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Buter * See the attached detailed Office action for a since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domination of the foreign language 14. Certain for domination of the first sentence was included in the first sentence.	nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have been received in priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). It is to fit the certified copies not nestic priority under 35 U.S.C e first sentence of the specific provisional application has nestic priority under 35 U.S.C	Application No In received in this National Stage of received.  C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) ideation or in an Application Data Sheet.  Deen received.  C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachment(s)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No.</li> </ol>	3) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3621

1. This communication is in response to applicant's amendment filed 29 August 2003 and received 2 September 2003. Claims 1-8, 10-16 and 20-23 are currently pending. Claims 1,2,3,10 and 13 have been amended.

# Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments filed 29 August 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 3. With respect to accounting logic used for dynamically charging, for the use of defined object data, and recognized data, applicant is respectfully directed to Downs et al (US 6,226,618B1) Col. 3, In 40-56.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1, 20, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Downs et al (US 6,226,618B1).
- 6. Regarding claim 1 –

Downs discloses a data charging system comprising a content generator for generating contents containing object data, a recording medium for recording the charging data used for charging f or said object data and the recognition data used for recognition of

Art Unit: 3621

the object data, and a data charging apparatus for charging for the use of said object data by using said charging data and said recognition data recorded; wherein said data charging apparatus comprises data reading logic for reading said recognition data and said charging data from said recording medium, a separator for separating said object data from said contents, an recognition logic for recognizing said separated object data by using said recognition data read out, an accounting logic for charging for the use of said recognized object data by using said charging data read out, and a writing logic for writing, as said charging data, the results of charging for the use of said recognized object data into said recording medium (Col. 3, In 40-56).

## 7. Regarding claim 20 –

Downs discloses a data charging system according to claim 1, wherein the content generator generated a digital watermark embedded in said contents (Col. 4, In 1-22).

8. Regarding claim 21 –

Downs discloses a data charging system according to claim 20, wherein the content generator generates watermark information about the digital watermark and also embedded in said contents (Col. 4, In 15-40).

9. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Downs et al (US 6,226,618B1).

Downs discloses a content generator for embedding digital watermarks in object data and generating contents in a data charging system which records, on a recording medium, the charging data used for charging for object data contained in said contents and the recognition data used for recognizing the object data and charges only for the

Art Unit: 3621

use of the object data embedded with said digital watermarks by using said charging data and said recognition data recorded (Col. 3, In 40-42).

10. Claims 3-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Downs et al (US 6,226,618B1).

## 11. Regarding claim 3 -

Downs discloses a data charging system which records, on a recording medium, the charging data used for charging for object data contained in said contents and the recognition data used for recognizing the object data and charges for the use of said object data by using said charging data and said recognition data recorded, a data charging apparatus comprising: a data reading logic for reading said recognition data and said charging data from said recording medium, a separator for separating said object data from said contents, an recognition logic for recognizing said separated object data by using said recognition data read out, an accounting logic for charging for the use of said recognized object data by using said charging data read out, and a writing logic for writing, as said charging data, the results of charging for the use of said recognized object data into said recording medium (Col. 3, In 40-56).

# 12. Regarding claim 4 -

Downs discloses the data charging apparatus according to Claim 3, wherein said contents comprise said object data and said recognition data for recognizing this object data, said separator separates said object data and said recognition data from said contents, said recognition logic recognizes said object data, based on said recognition data separated from said contents and on said recognition data read out from said

Art Unit: 3621

recording medium, and said accounting logic charges for said object data by using said charging data read out (Col. 3, In 40-45).

Page 5

# 13. Regarding claim 5 –

Downs discloses the data charging apparatus according to Claim 3, further comprising a watermarking logic for embedding digital watermarks in said object data separated from said contents, wherein said separator separates said object data and said recognition data from said recognition logic recognizes said object data, based on said recognition data separated from said contents and on said recognition data read out from said recording medium, and said accounting logic charges for said object data embedded with said digital watermarks (Col. 3, In 42-50).

## 14. Regarding claim 6 –

Downs discloses the data charging apparatus according to Claim 3, wherein a digital watermark is embedded in said object data in said contents, said data charging apparatus further comprising a means for detecting if said object data is embedded with said digital watermark, said separator separating said object data and said recognition data from said contents, said recognition logic recognizing said object data, based on said recognition data separated from said contents and on said recognition data read out from said recording medium, and said accounting logic charging for said object data only if said object data is found to be embedded with said digital watermark (Col. 3, In 50-56).

#### 15. Regarding claim 7 –

Art Unit: 3621

Downs discloses the data charging apparatus according to Claim 3, wherein said charging data recorded on said recording medium contains at least payment data which indicates the payment made in advance for the use of said object data, and said accounting logic charges for the use of said object data within the limits of the amount indicated by said payment data contained in said charging data (Col. 4, In 2-10).

## 16. Regarding claim 8 -

Downs discloses the data charging apparatus according to Claim 7, wherein said charging data recorded on said recording medium further contains unit price data representing the accounting unit for the use of said object data and the price corresponding to the accounting unit, said data charging apparatus comprising an accounting unit detection logic for detecting unit accounting amount data which represents the amount of said accounting unit for the object data separated from said contents, said accounting logic charging within the limits of the amount indicated by said payment data, based on said unit price data contained in said charging data read out and on the unit accounting amount data detected (Col. 4 In 2-10).

- 17. Claims 10-12 and 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Downs et al (US 6,226,618B1).
- 18. Regarding claim 10 –

Downs discloses a data charging method for generating contents which contain object data and recognition data used for the recognition of this object data, recording the charging data used for charging for said object data and the recognition data used for recognition of the object data, and charging for the use of said object data by using said

Art Unit: 3621

charging data and said recognition data recorded, comprising the steps of reading said recognition data and said charging data from said recording medium, separating said object data from said contents, recognizing said separated object data by using said recognition data read out, charging for the use of said recognized object data by using said charging data read out; and writing, as said charging data, the results of charging for the use of said recognized object data into said recording medium (Col. 3, In 40-56).

# 19. Regarding claim 11 –

Downs discloses a data charging method according to Claim 10, wherein said object data in said contents are embedded with digital watermarks, comprising the steps of separating said object data and said recognition data from said contents; recognizing said object data, based on said recognition data separated from said contents and on said recognition data read out from said recording medium; detecting said digital watermark embedded in said object data; and charging for said recognized object data only by using said charging data read out if said object data is found to be embedded with said digital watermark (Col. 3, In 42-45).

# 20. Regarding claim 12 -

Downs discloses a data charging method according to Claim 10, comprising the steps of separating said object data and said recognition data from said contents recognizing said object data, based on said recognition data separated from said contents and on said recognition data read out from said recording medium; embedding digital watermarks in said separated object data; and charging for the use of the object data

Art Unit: 3621

embedded with said digital watermarks by using said charging data read out (Col. 3, In 45-50).

# 21. Regarding claim 22 -

Downs discloses a method according to claim 11 further comprising the step of embedding in said contents information about the digital watermark (Col. 3, In 50-56).

# 22. Regarding claim 23 –

Downs discloses a method according to claim 22 wherein the embedding step includes the step of embedding in said contents instructions foe embedding the contents with said digital watermarks (Col. 3, In 45-50).

23. Claims 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Downs et al (US 6,226,618B1).

# 24. Regarding claim 13 –

Downs discloses a data charging apparatus of a data charging system which records, on a recording medium, the charging data used for charging for the object data contained in contents and the recognition data used for recognition of the object data, and charges for the use of said object data by using said charging data and said recognition data recorded; a computer program product enabling a computer to execute the steps of reading said recognition data and said charging data from the recording medium, separating said object data from said contents, recognizing said separated object data by using said recognition data read out, charging for the use of said recognized object data by using said charging data read out, and writing, as said

Art Unit: 3621

charging data, the results of charging for the use of said recognized object data into said recording medium (Col. 3, In 40-56).

# 25. Regarding claim 14 –

Downs discloses the computer program product according to Claim 13, wherein said contents contain said object data and said recognition data used for recognition of the object data, said object data and said recognition data are separated from said contents in said separation step, said object data is recognized in said recognition step, based on said recognition data separated from said contents and on said recognition data read out from the recording medium, and a charge is made for said object data in said charging step by using said charging data read out (Col. 3, In 42-50).

# 26. Regarding claim 15 -

Downs discloses the computer program product according to Claim 13, wherein the computer is made to execute the step of embedding digital watermarks in said object data separated from said contents, said object data and said recognition data are separated from said contents in said separation step, said object data is recognized in said recognition step, based on said recognition data separated from said contents and on said recognition data read out from the recording medium, and a charge is made for said object data embedded with said digital watermarks in said charging step (Col. 3, In 50-56).

#### 27. Regarding claim 16 –

Downs discloses the computer program product according to Claim 13, wherein said object data in said contents are embedded with digital watermarks, the computer is

Application/Control Number: 09/457,842 Page 10

Art Unit: 3621

further made to execute the step of detecting that said object data is embedded with said digital watermarks, said object data and said recognition data are separated from said contents in said separation step, said object data is recognized in said recognition step, based on said recognition data separated from said contents and on said recognition data read out from the recording medium, and a charge is made for said object data in said charging step only if said object data is found to be embedded with said digital watermark (Col. 4, In 2-10).

28. Examiner's note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant.

Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may be applied as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

#### **Conclusion**

- **29. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 30. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 3621

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

- 31. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cristina O Sherr whose telephone number is 703-305-0625. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 to 5:00.
- 32. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on 703-305-9768. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-305-7687.
- 33. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

\*\*\*

VINCENT MILLIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Umen Mille